

Grzegorz “myamlak” Murzynowski  
parcat.eu

GM-Scenarios two years later  
A complete madness.  
Turing-complete. (Or not?)

BachTeX April 29, 2017

Grzegorz "myamlak" Murzynowski  
parcat.eu

GM-Scenarios two years later

A complete madness.

Turing-complete. (Or not?)

or

how did I

from the l3expan spirit

conceive and bear a monster.

BachOT<sub>E</sub>X April 29, 2017



Partt 1

expl3 in general

expl3 in general

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 in general (AFAIUwMHM)

# expl3 in general

## L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 in general (AFAIUwMHM)

- ▶ The “three” layers:
  - ▶ the very document,
  - ▶ document design  
[specs. of margins, fonts, columns, headings &c.],
  - ▶ the **implementation** [for the two above],
  - ▶ [the T<sub>E</sub>X implementation of the  
“implementation layer”].

# expl3 in general

## L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 in general (AFAIUwMHM)

- ▶ The “three” layers:
  - ▶ the very document,
  - ▶ document design  
[specs. of margins, fonts, columns, headings &c.],
  - ▶ the **implementation** [for the two above],
  - ▶ [the T<sub>E</sub>X implementation of the  
“implementation layer”].
  
- ▶ expl3 – “a normal programming language”

# expl3 in general

## L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 in general (AFAIUwMHM)

- ▶ The “three” layers:
  - ▶ the very document,
  - ▶ document design  
[specs. of margins, fonts, columns, headings &c.],
  - ▶ the **implementation** [for the two above],
  - ▶ [the T<sub>E</sub>X implementation of the  
“implementation layer”].
- ▶ expl3 – “a normal programming language”  
“...almost T<sub>E</sub>X-independent”



# expl3 in general

## L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 in general (AFAIUwMHM)

- ▶ The “three” layers:
  - ▶ the very document,
  - ▶ document design  
[specs. of margins, fonts, columns, headings &c.],
  - ▶ the **implementation** [for the two above],
  - ▶ [the T<sub>E</sub>X implementation of the  
“implementation layer”].
- ▶ expl3 – “a normal programming language”  
“...almost T<sub>E</sub>X-independent”

Almost.

## expl3 in general

expl3 – “a normal programming language” concepts

# expl3 in general

expl3 – “a normal programming language” concepts:

- ▶ the characters allowed in names
  - “\_” and “:” re-coded to 11, “letter”
- ▶ naming conventions, name spaces
- ▶ functions
  
- ▶ data types, variables & constants
- ▶ scope of the variables and of the resp. assignments
- ▶ blanks ignored
- ▶ iterators, loops, mappings
- ▶ consistent brace syntax (no “open if” problem)

# expl3 in general

expl3 – “a normal programming language” concepts:

- ▶ the characters allowed in names  
“\_” and “:” re-coded to 11, “letter”
- ▶ naming conventions, name spaces
- ▶ functions
- ▶ function variants
- ▶ data types, variables & constants
- ▶ scope of the variables and of the resp. assignments
- ▶ blanks ignored
- ▶ iterators, loops, mappings
- ▶ consistent brace syntax (no “open if” problem)

## expl3 in general

“blanks ignored”

```
\ifnum 1=0  
  1a  
\else  
  0z  
\fi
```

## expl3 in general

“blanks ignored”

```
\ifnum 1=0  
  1a  
\else  
  0z  
\fi
```

↳

%

```
\int_compare:nNnTF  
{1}={0}  
{1a}{0z}
```

## expl3 in general

Defining “functions” and –  
here comes l3expan –  
their “variants”

```
\cs_new:Nn \__module_function' name:nn {  
  <do sth. about #1 & #2 >  
}
```

## expl3 in general

Defining “functions” and –  
here comes l3expan –  
their “variants”

```
\cs_new:Nn \__module_function'name:nn {  
  <do sth. about #1 & #2 >  
}
```

```
\cs_generate_variant:Nn \__module_function'name:nn  
  {Vx} % --> \__module_function'name:Vx
```



Partt 2

l3expan

# l3expan

```
\cs_new:Nn \__module_function:name:nn {  
  <do sth. about #1 & #2 >  
}
```

# l3expan

```
\cs_new:Nn \__module_function:name:nn {  
  <do sth. about #1 & #2 >  
}  
  
> \exp_args:NVx=undefined.
```

# l3expan

```
\cs_new:Nn \__module_function'name:nn {  
  <do sth. about #1 & #2 >  
}
```

```
> \exp_args:NVx=undefined.
```

```
\cs_generate_variant:Nn \__module_function'name:nn  
  {Vx} % --> \__module_function'name:Vx
```

# l3expan

```
\cs_new:Nn \__module_function'name:nn {  
  <do sth. about #1 & #2 >  
}
```

```
> \exp_args:NVx=undefined.
```

```
\cs_generate_variant:Nn \__module_function'name:nn  
  {Vx} % --> \__module_function'name:Vx
```

```
> \__module_function'name:Vx=\protected\long macro:  
->\exp_args:NVx \__module_function'name:nn .
```

# l3expan

```
\cs_new:Nn \__module_function'name:nn {  
  <do sth. about #1 & #2 >  
}
```

```
> \exp_args:NVx=undefined.
```

```
\cs_generate_variant:Nn \__module_function'name:nn  
  {Vx} % --> \__module_function'name:Vx
```

```
> \__module_function'name:Vx=\protected\long macro:  
->\exp_args:NVx \__module_function'name:nn .
```

```
> \exp_args:NVx=\protected\long macro:  
->\::V \::x \::: .
```

# l3expan

`\expandafter`,

and why doesn't it work with a `<balanced text>`

```
\expandafter \def \expandafter \foo \expandafter
  {\bar <...more stuff>}
```

# l3expan

`\expandafter`,  
and why doesn't it work with a `<balanced text>`

```
\expandafter \def \expandafter \foo \expandafter
  {\bar <...more stuff>}
```

and hence `\::o`

```
\cs_new:Npn \::o #1 \::: #2#3 {
  \exp_after:wN \__exp_arg_next:nnn
  \exp_after:wN {#3} {#1} {#2} }
```



# l3expan

`\expandafter`,  
and why doesn't it work with a `<balanced text>`

```
\expandafter \def \expandafter \foo \expandafter
  {\bar <...more stuff>}
```

and hence `\::o`

```
\cs_new:Npn \::o #1 \::: #2#3 {
  \exp_after:wN \__exp_arg_next:nnn
  \exp_after:wN {#3} {#1} {#2} }
```

```
\cs_new:Npn \__exp_arg_next:nnn #1#2#3 {
  #2 \::: { #3 {#1} } }
```

# l3expan

`\expandafter`,  
and why doesn't it work with a `<balanced text>`

```
\expandafter \def \expandafter \foo \expandafter
  {\bar <...more stuff>}
```

and hence `\::o`

```
\cs_new:Npn \::o #1 \::: #2#3 {
  \exp_after:wN \__exp_arg_next:nnn
  \exp_after:wN {#3} {#1} {#2} }
```

```
\cs_new:Npn \__exp_arg_next:nnn #1#2#3 {
  #2 \::: { #3 {#1} } }
```

...and other `\:::z`'s.

```
\:::N \:::n
\:::o \:::x \:::f
\:::c \:::V \:::v
```

# l3expan

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>ε</sub> style:

```
\newtoks \l@aux@args@toks
\newtoks \l@auxA@toks
\newtoks \l@auxB@toks
%
\l@auxA@toks = {{\langle arg.3T \rangle}}
\l@auxB@toks={{\langle arg.3F \rangle}}
%
\edef\aux@macro {
  \if<condition>
    \the\l@auxA@toks
  \else
    \the\l@auxB@toks
  \fi
}
```

```
\l@aux@args@toks
\expandafter {\aux@macro } %
  "{\langle arg.3_ \rangle}"
%
\edef \aux@macro {\langle arg.2 \rangle}
\l@aux@args@toks
\expandafter\expandafter%
  \expandafter
{\expandafter \aux@macro
\the\l@aux@args@toks } %
  "{\langle arg.2-ed \rangle}{\langle arg.3_ \rangle}"
```

```
\expandafter \def \expandafter
\aux@macro \expandafter{%
  \the \arg@i@int % remember [...]
}
\l@aux@args@toks
\expandafter\expandafter\expandafter
{\expandafter \aux@macro
  \the\l@aux@args@toks }
[...]
%
% and, finally,
\expandafter \mod_foo:nnn \the
  \l@aux@args@toks
```

# l3expan

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>ε</sub> style:

```
\newtoks \l@aux@args@toks
\newtoks \l@auxA@toks
\newtoks \l@auxB@toks
%
\l@auxA@toks = {{\arg.3T}}
\l@auxB@toks={{\arg.3F}}
%
\edef\aux@macro {
  \if<condition>
    \the\l@auxA@toks
  \else
    \the\l@auxB@toks
  \fi
}
```

expl3 style:

```
\cs_generate_variant:Nn \__mod_foo:nnn {Vxf}

\__mod_foo:Vxf
\arg_i_int {\arg.2}
{ \__<condition>:TF {\arg.3T}{\arg.3F} }
```

```
\l@aux@args@toks
\expandafter {\aux@macro } %
  "{\arg.3_}"
%
\edef \aux@macro {\arg.2}
\l@aux@args@toks
\expandafter\expandafter%
  \expandafter
{\expandafter \aux@macro
\the\l@aux@args@toks } %
  "{\arg.2-ed}{\arg.3_}"
```

```
\expandafter \def \expandafter
\aux@macro \expandafter{%
  \the \arg@i@int % remember [...]
}
\l@aux@args@toks
\expandafter\expandafter\expandafter
{\expandafter \aux@macro
  \the\l@aux@args@toks }
[...]
```

%  
% and, finally,

```
\expandafter \mod_foo:nnn \the
  \l@aux@args@toks
```

Do we really always need to generate a variant?

# l3expan

Do we really always need to generate a variant?

```
\cs_generate_variant:Nn \__mod_foo:nnn {Vxf}
```

```
> \exp_args:NVxf=\protected\long macro:
```

```
->\::V \::x \::f \::: .
```

# l3expan

Do we really always need to generate a variant?

```
\cs_generate_variant:Nn \__mod_foo:nnn {Vxf}
```

```
> \exp_args:NVxf=\protected\long macro:  
->\::V \::x \::f \::: .
```

so –

```
\::V \::x \::f \::: \__mod_foo:nnn <the “raw” args.>
```

# l3expan

The `\::` macros of l3expan

- ▶ bring programming in T<sub>E</sub>X an abstraction level up
- ▶ shorten the code by replacing recurring schemas with variants or `\::`'s
- ▶ and thus decrease the chance of a bug.



# l3expan

The `\::` macros of l3expan

- ▶ bring programming in T<sub>E</sub>X an abstraction level up
- ▶ shorten the code by replacing recurring schemas with variants or `\::`'s
- ▶ and thus decrease the chance of a bug.

But they

- ▶ apply just one “elementary operation” to an argument
- ▶ act only on separate arguments.

# l3expan

The `\::` macros of l3expan

- ▶ bring programming in T<sub>E</sub>X an abstraction level up
- ▶ shorten the code by replacing recurring schemas with variants or `\::`'s
- ▶ and thus decrease the chance of a bug.

But they

- ▶ apply just one “elementary operation” to an argument
- ▶ act only on separate arguments.

“Typical” examples [in my T<sub>E</sub>X life], not handled by l3expan:

- ▶ reverse the order of two arguments
- ▶ double an argument
- ▶ hit an argument with exactly two `\expandafter`'s

Partt 3

GM-Scenarios, a proper extension  
to l3expan

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

a GM-Scenario

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to I3expan

a General Meta-Scenario

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

a General Meta-Scenario

```
\::V \::x \::f \::: \__mod_foo:nnn <“raw” args.>
```

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

a General Meta-Scenario

```
\::V \::x \::f \::: \__mod_foo:nnn <“raw” args.>
```

```
V x f : \__mod_foo:nnn <“raw” args.>
```

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

a General Meta-Scenario

```
\::V \::x \::f \::: \__mod_foo:nnn <“raw” args.>
```

```
  V    x    f    : \__mod_foo:nnn <“raw” args.>
```

```
\::: I  V    x    f    : \__mod_foo:nnn <“raw” args.>
```



# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster

Reverse order of two arguments, double an argument

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster

Reverse order of two arguments, double an argument  $\mapsto$   
arbitrary permutation with repetitions

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

## Monster

Reverse order of two arguments, double an argument  $\mapsto$   
arbitrary permutation with repetitions

Applying multiple ops. to one argument, within a  
permutation or outside

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

## Monster

Reverse order of two arguments, double an argument  $\mapsto$   
arbitrary permutation with repetitions

Applying multiple ops. to one argument, within a  
permutation or outside

Various styles of declaring a permutation

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster: a small & simple sample

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster: a small & simple sample

```
\onslide <2> {  
  \:: Hi 𐀀11𐀀 :  
  \nointerlineskip  
  \smash{\box 0}  
  \prevdepth  
}
```

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster: a small & simple sample

```
\onslide <2> {  
  \:: Hi ¶11Ð :  
    \nointerlineskip  
    \smash{\box 0}  
    \prevdepth  
}  
  
\__::_prepare'τ{ς}:w  
\::H \::i  
\::_prepare'FSM'¶:w  
\`F#1 \`I \`F#1 \`in'F: \`BÐ \`I  
\q__::_FSM'crawlstart 1\__::_τyield:w  
\::: {}.
```



# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster: a small & simple sample

```
\onslide <2> {
\:: Hi ¶11Ð :
  \nointerlineskip
  \smash{\box 0}
  \prevdepth
}

\__::_prepare'τ{ç}:w
\::H \::i
\::_prepare'FSM'¶:w
\F#1 \I \F#1 \in'F: \BÐ \I
\q__::_FSM'crawlstart 1\__::_τyield:w
\::: {}.

\nointerlineskip \smash {\box 0}
\prevdepth 4.234219pt.
```

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster unleashed: argument substitutions & references

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster unleashed: argument substitutions & references

```
\::: Hi 𐀀11𐀀 :  
  \nointerlineskip  
  \smash{\box 0}
```

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster unleashed: argument substitutions & references

```
\::: Hi ω 1 [[ 1@=:1 ] 1 41 213 :  
  \nointerlineskip  
  \smash{\box 0}  
  1 \prevdepth  
  2 {\hrule width \hsize height }  
  3 \relax  
  4 \showtokens  
ω
```

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster unleashed: argument substitutions & references

```
\::: Hi ω 1 [[ 1D=#1 ] ] 1 41 2R :  
  \nointerlineskip  
  \smash{\box 0}  
  1 \prevdepth  
  2 {\hrule width \hsize height \#1 \relax}  
  3 {}  
  4 \showtokens  
ω
```

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster unleashed: argument substitutions & references

```
\:: Hi ω 1 [[ 1D=:#1 ]] 1 41 2*13 :  
  \nointerlineskip  
  \smash{\box 0}  
  1 \prevdepth  
  2 {\hrule width \hsize height }  
  3 \relax  
  4 \showtokens  
ω
```

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Monster tamed (soon in the future):

- ▶ easily convertible into a preprocessor [on the docStrip level]
  - ...at least about what's expandable
  - ...including the FSM/BDSM parts (i.e., the permutation-with-repetition-and-bracing),
- ▶ and with only the “official” Unicode characters [i.e., no PUA of my design, and no special font with them necessary ],
- ▶ and (possibly) with only ASCII characters.

# GM-Scenarios, a proper extension to l3expan

Symbols of my invention

↶ ↷ ↸ ↹

[0] [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]

[A] [B] [C] [D] [E] [F]

[0] [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [a] [b] [c] [d] [e] [f]

(ω)

#