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Figure 1  The people of BachoTeX vs. TeX Live
Whereas the defendant, T\TeX Live, has been recognised as being way too big,

whereas the safety and security of this distribution leaves a lot to be desired,

whereas the defendant’s version of LuaT\TeX keeps changing all the time, breaking \LaTeX packages,

whereas the defendant is often acknowledged as being too difficult for downstream packagers on systems such as Linux and Solaris distributions,

whereas the defendant’s binaries are only updated once a year, require a large amount of time for individual volunteers to compile, and delay the detection of bugs for months,

whereas the hosting service known as TLContrib is no longer really maintained,

whereas the defendant’s version of Con\TeXt is waaaay too old,

whereas the defendant didn’t make any formal declaration of package-to-package dependencies,
• whereas no quality checks are being done when including packages,
• whereas no testing is being done either and packages are unconditionally upgraded even when they break stuff for users,
• whereas hyphenation patterns shipped by the defendant have ambiguous and confusing licence statements,
• whereas it is essential to promote the development of friendly relations between $\text{T\textsc{E}X}$ users,
• whereas it is essential, if $\text{T\textsc{E}X}$ users are not to be compelled to have recourse, as a last resort, to rebellion against tyranny and oppression, that $\text{T\textsc{E}X}$ian rights should be protected by the rule of law,

now, therefore, the people of Bacho$\text{T\textsc{E}X}$ hereby assembled accuse and indict the distribution known as $\text{T\textsc{E}X}$ Live and ask witnesses to come forward.

Dr. Norbert Preining, of Kanazawa, is called as the first attorney for the defence to describe alleged security improvements in the defendant.